Library

BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 IN THE MATTER OF 3 THE GABLES CO-OPERATIVE ASSOCIATION, INC., PCHB No. 77-153 Appellant, FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, 5 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 6 PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION 7 CONTROL AGENCY, 3 Respondent. 9

This matter, the appeal of a \$50 civil penalty for the alleged violation of respondent's Regulation I, came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board, Dave J. Mooney and Chris Smith, at a formal hearing on January 16, 1978 in Seattle. David Akana presided.

Appellant was represented by its president, William E. Bellinger and its secretary-treasurer, Catherine Wilkins. Respondent appeared through its attorney, Keith D. McGoffin

Having heard the testimony, having examined the exhibits, and having considered the contentions of the parties, the Pollution Control

S. I. No. O. HOGE J. b.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Hearings Eoard makes these

FINDINCS OF FACT

Ι

Pursuant to RCW 43 21E.260, respondent has filed with the Board a certified copy of its Regulation I and amendments thereto which are noticed

ΙI

Appellant is the owner of an apartment building located at 40% - 16th Avenue East in Seattle, Washington.

On January 17, 1977, in response to a complaint, respondent's inspector visited appellant's apartment and saverissions from the chirney after observing an alleged violation of Regulation I, the inspector issued a rotice of violation to appellant's secretary-treasurer. There is a substantial dispute, which we need not resolve, as to whether the inspector communicated the availability of the exculpatory provisions of Section 9 16 to appellant's agent at this time.

III

On September 20, 1977, at about 10 53 am, respondent's inspector again visited the site of appellant's apartment in response to a complaint of smoke. The inspector observed the tan-colored emissions and recorded a reading of 100% opacity for six consecutive minutes. For the foregoing occurrence, appellant was sent a notice of violation which was appealed to respondent and this Board. At about the time of such appeal,

¹ Section 9.16 provides that excessive emissions resulting from junavoidable failures, upsets or breakdowns will not be deemed violations 20, providing that certain conditions are met. One condition is that the lagency be notified immediately of any such occurrence.

^{27 :}FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT,
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

respondent issued a notice of civil penalty in the amount of \$50 which was also appealed to this Board

ΙV

The tan-colored emissions observed by the inspector were caused, in part, by a defective valve in the boiler of the apartment. Water from the valve smothered the furnace box fire and thereafter spilled on the furnace room floor. Repairs to the boiler cost \$210.

V

On October 12, 1977 appellant's representative met with respondent's agents and, as a result thereof, first came to understand the availability of the exculpatory provisions of section 9.16.

VI

Any Conclusion of Law which should be deemed a Finding of Fact is hereby adopted as such.

From these Findings the Board comes to these CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I

Appellant violated section 9.03(b) of Regulation I on September 20, 1977 by causing, or allowing the emission of an air contaminant for more than three minutes in any one hour, which emission was of such opacity as to obscure an observer's view to a degree equal to or greater than 20% density. Appellant is charged with knowledge of duly promulgated regulations, including the exculpatory provisions of section 9 16. Since it did not avail itself of such provision, we conclude that the violation must be upheld. The \$50 civil penalty assessed pursuant to section 3 29 is reasonable in amount and should be affirmed. In view of the circumstances which led to the violation,

| 1 | we conclude that payment of the civil penalty should be suspended, however. |
|-------------|---|
| 2 | II |
| 3 | Any Finding of Fact which should be deemed a Conclusion of Law is |
| 4 | nereby adopted as such. |
| 5 | From these Conclusions, the Board enters this |
| 6 | ORDER |
| 7 | The \$50 civil penalty is affirmed, provided however, that the |
| 8 | entire civil penalty is suspended on condition that appellant not |
| 9 | violate respondent's regulations for a period of one year after the |
| 10 | date of this Order. |
| 1) | DONE at Lacey, Vashington, this day of January, 1978. |
| 12 | POLECTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD |
| 13 | has J. M. com |
| 14 | DAVE J. MOSTEY, Hember |
| 15 | Olas South |
| 16 | CHRIS SMITH, Pecber |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | , |
| 7.7 | ! [|
| 50 | |
| 14 | |
| - '5 | |
| 26 | I FIN.L FINDINGS OF FACT, |
| 27 | FIN. L FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 4 |